

reference and the claimed invention do not come from the same field of endeavor and Montague would not have been recognized as pertinent to a display.

Moreover, in order to make out a *prima facie* obviousness rejection, there must be some rationale within the references themselves to make the claimed combination. Certainly the discussion of the cited prior art in the present application does not suggest making any modification, but to the contrary, demonstrates considerable disadvantages inherent in the prior art. Nothing in Montague in any way suggests modifying how displays are made since Montague does not have anything to do with a display and is merely a fuse for a plug.

In view of the failure to make out a *prima facie* rejection, reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Respectfully requested,

Date: April 16, 2003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Timothy N. Trop, Reg. No. 28,994  
TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C.  
8554 Katy Freeway, Ste. 100  
Houston, TX 77024  
713/468-8880 [Phone]  
713/468-8883 [Fax]